Annual Survey for Core and Adjunct Faculty Daybreak University

| \ | Year 2023 |
|----|--|
| (| Core and Adjunct Faculty |
| | ip to question 1Skip to question 1 Environmental Supports |
| | nclusive and Diverse Learning Environment or MA Program |
| 1. | 1. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Not Well |
| | Adequately well |
| | Very well |
| | Exceptionally well |
| | |
| 2. | 2. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Not well |
| | Adequately well |
| | Very well |
| | Exceptionally well |
| | |

| 3. | 3. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange o diverse views and opinions? |
|----|--|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Not Well |
| | Adequately well |
| | Very well |
| | Exceptionally well |
| | |
| E | nvironmental Supports |
| | clusive and Diverse Learning Environment or PhD Program |
| 4. | 4. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Not Well |
| | Adequately well |
| | Very well |
| | Exceptionally well |
| | |
| 5. | 5. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Not well |
| | Adequately well |
| | Very well |
| | Exceptionally well |
| | |

| 6. | 6. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange o diverse views and opinions? |
|-----------|--|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Not Well |
| | Adequately well |
| | Very well |
| | Exceptionally well |
| | |
| <u>Fi</u> | scal and Physical Resources |
| 7. | 7. Are the classroom facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | |
| 8. | 8. Are the computer facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | ◯ No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | |
| | |

| 9. | 9. Are the fiscal resources sufficient for your teaching endeavors? |
|-----------|--|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | ◯ No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | |
| <u>Te</u> | echnological resources |
| 10. | 10. Are the technological resources such as Populi sufficient for assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | |
| 11. | 11. Are the technological resources in the university sufficient to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | |
| <u>In</u> | structional and Clinical Resources |

| 12. | 12.Does the program have sufficient staff to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
|-----|--|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| 13. | 13. Do you believe there are sufficient faculty for the students to experience academic success? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| 14. | 14. Do you believe there are sufficient Program Clinical Supervisors for the students to experience clinical growth? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | |
| 15. | 15. Do you think the resources with the on-site clinic are sufficient for students to experier clinical growth? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | ◯ No |
| | Unable to evaluate |

| 16. | 16. Are the library resources sufficient for your endeavors as an instructor? |
|-----------|--|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| <u>Ac</u> | ademic Resources and Student Support Services |
| 17. | 17. Do you think there are sufficient student support services for the students? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| 18. | 18. Do you think that the academic advising is sufficient for student success? |
| 10. | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | No |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| 19. | 19. Do you comply with the institutional policies and procedures concerning the use or |
| | technology, including policies on disaster planning? |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Yes |
| | ◯ No |
| | Unable to evaluate |

| 20. | 20. Please offer feedback regarding the Curriculum . <u>A) Academic Courses:</u> |
|-----|---|
| | - MA Program |
| | - Ph.D. Program |
| | B) Clinical Components: |
| | - MA Program |
| | - Ph.D. Program |
| | C) Ph.D. Program: Advanced Practical Experience Component: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

21. Please offer feedback regarding the **Program's Mission, Program Goals, and Student Learning Outcomes**.

MA Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of Marriage and Family Therapy MA Program at Daybreak University is to prepare students to be culturally competent, ethical, evidence-based, self-observing, and systemic marriage and family therapists

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundation knowledge of marriage and family therapy theories, models, and interventions.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational competence to practice marriage and family therapy.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students to practice MFT from a self-reflective and cultural competence foundation with a variety of diverse clients.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate competencies related to legal and professional ethics, standards of practice, and personal awareness in their clinical work. Program Goal

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train family therapists who can consume a contribute to research in the field of marriage and family therapy.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of systems theory concepts and MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate competence in systemic/relational assessment, treatment planning, interventions, transfer, and termination.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate human diversity and practice culturallysensitive analysis and critical self-awareness when working with a diversity of individuals, couples, and families.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate ethical decision-making, case management, professionalism, and self-awareness in clinical practice.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will be able to read and critically evaluate research for evidence-based application in the practice of marriage and family therapy.

Ph.D. Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the Marriage and Family Therapy PhD program at Daybreak University is to develop scientist-practitioners in the field of couple, marriage, and family therapy. The doctoral program provides academic and clinical training for the purpose of developing scholars with the competence in systemic clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): The program will train students who demonstrate advance and comprehensive knowledge of systems concepts and MFT theories and techniques.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): The program will train students who demonstrate an ability to conceptualize systemic thinking as applied in supervision, mentoring, and the isomorphic dynamics among different levels of the training system.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students who demonstrate awareness and competence in culturally sensitive clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate applied

knowledge of MFT legal and ethical guidelines and professional standards.

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train students who demonstrate the ability design, conduct, analyze, and identify clinical implications of systemic and relational research.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate competence in advanced MFT models an techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate systems concepts an MFT theories in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate understanding of contextual factors and respect for diversity and inclusion in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate competence in ethical and professional clinica work, supervision, teaching, and research.

CLO #E (Decearch): Ctudents will conduct austemic and relational recearch that has alinia.

| implications for marriage and family therapy. | | | iat iias ciiilica | |
|---|--|---|-------------------|--|
| · | | , | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Program Director Effectiveness

22. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>MA</u> Program Director:

| 22. | Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
|-----|---|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | Ineffective |
| | Effective |
| | Exceptionally effective |
| | |
| 23. | Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | Ineffective |
| | Effective |
| | Exceptionally effective |
| | |
| 24. | Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | Ineffective |
| | Effective |
| | Exceptionally effective |
| | |
| | |

| 25. | Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality | |
|---------------------|---|--|
| Mark only one oval. | | |
| | Unable to evaluate | |
| | Ineffective | |
| | Effective | |
| | Exceptionally effective | |
| Pro | ogram Director Effectiveness | |
| | Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of ectiveness in her role as <u>Ph.D</u> . Program Director: | |
| 26. | Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. | |
| | Mark only one oval. | |
| | Unable to evaluate | |
| | Ineffective | |
| | Effective | |
| | Exceptionally effective | |
| 27. | Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. | |
| | Mark only one oval. | |
| | Unable to evaluate | |
| | Ineffective | |
| | Effective | |
| | Exceptionally effective | |
| | | |

| 28. | Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
|-----|--|
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | Ineffective |
| | Effective |
| | Exceptionally effective |
| | |
| | |
| 29. | Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality |
| | Mark only one oval. |
| | Unable to evaluate |
| | Ineffective |
| | Effective |
| | Exceptionally effective |
| | |
| | |
| | |

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Annual Survey for Core and Adjunct Faculty

Year 2023

Core and Adjunct Faculty

UEnvironmental Supports

Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment

for MA Program

| 1. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
|--|
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| |

| 2. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
|--|
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 3. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| Environmental Supports |
| Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment for PhD Program |
| |

| 4. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
|--|
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 5. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 6. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| |

| 7. Are the classroom facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 8. Are the computer facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 9. Are the fiscal resources sufficient for your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

<u>Technological resources</u>

| 10. Are the technological resources such as Populi sufficient for assist in your teaching endeavors? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 11. Are the technological resources in the university sufficient to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Instructional and Clinical Resources |
| 12.Does the program have sufficient staff to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 13. Do you believe there are sufficient faculty for the students to experience academic success? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 14. Do you believe there are sufficient Program Clinical Supervisors for the students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 15. Do you think the resources with the on-site clinic are sufficient for students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 16. Are the library resources sufficient for your endeavors as an instructor? |
|--|
| YesNoUnable to evaluate |
| Academic Resources and Student Support Services |
| 17. Do you think there are sufficient student support services for the students? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 18. Do you think that the academic advising is sufficient for student success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 19. Do you comply with the institutional policies and procedures concerning the use or technology, including policies on disaster planning? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 20. Please offer feedback regarding the Curriculum . <u>A) Academic Courses:</u> |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| B) Clinical Components: |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| C) Ph.D. Program: Advanced Practical Experience Component: |
| Great |
| |
| 21. Please offer feedback regarding the Program's Mission, Program Goals, and Student Learning Outcomes . |

MA Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of Marriage and Family Therapy MA Program at Daybreak University is to prepare students to be culturally competent, ethical, evidence-based, self-observing, and systemic marriage and family therapists

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational

knowledge of marriage and family therapy theories, models, and interventions.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational competence to practice marriage and family therapy.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students to practice MFT from a self-reflective and cultural competence foundation with a variety of diverse clients.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate competencies related to legal and professional ethics, standards of practice, and personal awareness in their clinical work. Program Goal

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train family therapists who can consume and contribute to research in the field of marriage and family therapy.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of systems theory concepts and MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate competence in systemic/relational assessment, treatment planning, interventions, transfer, and termination.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate human diversity and practice culturallysensitive analysis and critical self-awareness when working with a diversity of individuals, couples, and families.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate ethical decision-making, case management, professionalism, and self-awareness in clinical practice.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will be able to read and critically evaluate research for evidence-based application in the practice of marriage and family therapy.

Ph.D. Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the Marriage and Family Therapy PhD program at Daybreak University is to develop scientist-practitioners in the field of couple, marriage, and family therapy. The doctoral program provides academic and clinical training for the purpose of developing scholars with the competence in systemic clinical work, supervision, teaching, and

research.

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): The program will train students who demonstrate advanced and comprehensive knowledge of systems concepts and MFT theories and techniques.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): The program will train students who demonstrate an ability to conceptualize systemic thinking as applied in supervision, mentoring, and the isomorphic dynamics among different levels of the training system.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students who demonstrate awareness and competence in culturally sensitive clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate applied knowledge of MFT legal and ethical guidelines and professional standards.

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train students who demonstrate the ability to design, conduct, analyze, and identify clinical implications of systemic and relational research.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate competence in advanced MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate systems concepts and MFT theories in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate understanding of contextual factors and respect for diversity and inclusion in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate competence in ethical and professional clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will conduct systemic and relational research that has clinical implications for marriage and family therapy.

Great

| Program Director Effectiveness |
|---|
| 22. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>MA</u> Program Director: |
| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| O Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Program Director Effectiveness |
| 23. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>Ph.D</u> . Program Director: |

| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

This form was created inside of Daybreak University.

Google Forms

Annual Survey for Core and Adjunct Faculty

| D | 1.1 | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| Daybreak | unive | rsity |

Year 2023

Core and Adjunct Faculty

UEnvironmental Supports

Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment for MA Program

|--|--|--|--|

- 1. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment?
- Not Well
- Adequately well
- O Very well
- Exceptionally well

| 2. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
|--|
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 3. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| Environmental Supports |
| Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment for PhD Program |
| |

| 4. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
|--|
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 5. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 6. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| |

| 7. Are the classroom facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 8. Are the computer facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 9. Are the fiscal resources sufficient for your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

<u>Technological resources</u>

| 10. Are the technological resources such as Populi sufficient for assist in your teaching endeavors? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 11. Are the technological resources in the university sufficient to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Instructional and Clinical Resources |
| 12.Does the program have sufficient staff to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 13. Do you believe there are sufficient faculty for the students to experience academic success? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 14. Do you believe there are sufficient Program Clinical Supervisors for the students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 15. Do you think the resources with the on-site clinic are sufficient for students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 16. Are the library resources sufficient for your endeavors as an instructor? |
|--|
| YesNoUnable to evaluate |
| Academic Resources and Student Support Services |
| 17. Do you think there are sufficient student support services for the students? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 18. Do you think that the academic advising is sufficient for student success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 19. Do you comply with the institutional policies and procedures concerning the use or technology, including policies on disaster planning? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 20. Please offer feedback regarding the Curriculum . <u>A) Academic Courses:</u> |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| B) Clinical Components: |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| C) Ph.D. Program: Advanced Practical Experience Component: |
| |
| |
| 21. Please offer feedback regarding the Program's Mission, Program Goals, and Student Learning Outcomes . MA Program |

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of Marriage and Family Therapy MA Program at Daybreak University is to prepare students to be culturally competent, ethical, evidence-based, self-observing, and systemic marriage and family therapists

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational

knowledge of marriage and family therapy theories, models, and interventions.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational competence to practice marriage and family therapy.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students to practice MFT from a self-reflective and cultural competence foundation with a variety of diverse clients.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate competencies related to legal and professional ethics, standards of practice, and personal awareness in their clinical work. Program Goal

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train family therapists who can consume and contribute to research in the field of marriage and family therapy.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of systems theory concepts and MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate competence in systemic/relational assessment, treatment planning, interventions, transfer, and termination.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate human diversity and practice culturallysensitive analysis and critical self-awareness when working with a diversity of individuals, couples, and families.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate ethical decision-making, case management, professionalism, and self-awareness in clinical practice.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will be able to read and critically evaluate research for evidence-based application in the practice of marriage and family therapy.

Ph.D. Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the Marriage and Family Therapy PhD program at Daybreak University is to develop scientist-practitioners in the field of couple, marriage, and family therapy. The doctoral program provides academic and clinical training for the purpose of developing scholars with the competence in systemic clinical work, supervision, teaching, and

research.

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): The program will train students who demonstrate advanced and comprehensive knowledge of systems concepts and MFT theories and techniques.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): The program will train students who demonstrate an ability to conceptualize systemic thinking as applied in supervision, mentoring, and the isomorphic dynamics among different levels of the training system.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students who demonstrate awareness and competence in culturally sensitive clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate applied knowledge of MFT legal and ethical guidelines and professional standards.

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train students who demonstrate the ability to design, conduct, analyze, and identify clinical implications of systemic and relational research.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate competence in advanced MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate systems concepts and MFT theories in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate understanding of contextual factors and respect for diversity and inclusion in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate competence in ethical and professional clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will conduct systemic and relational research that has clinical implications for marriage and family therapy.

| Program Director Effectiveness |
|---|
| 22. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>MA</u> Program Director: |
| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| O Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Program Director Effectiveness |
| 23. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>Ph.D</u> . Program Director: |

| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

Annual Survey for Core and Adjunct Faculty

| D | 1.1 | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| Daybreak | unive | rsity |

Year 2023

Core and Adjunct Faculty

UEnvironmental Supports

<u>Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment</u> **for MA Program**

| 1. How well do you believe the progran | n promotes | an inclusive | and diverse | learning |
|--|------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| environment? | | | | |

- Not Well
- Adequately well
- O Very well
- Exceptionally well

| 2. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
|--|
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 3. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| Environmental Supports Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment |
| for PhD Program |

| 4. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
|--|
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 5. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 6. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| |

| 7. Are the classroom facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 8. Are the computer facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 9. Are the fiscal resources sufficient for your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

<u>Technological resources</u>

| 10. Are the technological resources such as Populi sufficient for assist in your teaching endeavors? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 11. Are the technological resources in the university sufficient to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Instructional and Clinical Resources |
| 12.Does the program have sufficient staff to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 13. Do you believe there are sufficient faculty for the students to experience academic success? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 14. Do you believe there are sufficient Program Clinical Supervisors for the students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 15. Do you think the resources with the on-site clinic are sufficient for students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 16. Are the library resources sufficient for your endeavors as an instructor? |
|--|
| YesNoUnable to evaluate |
| Academic Resources and Student Support Services |
| 17. Do you think there are sufficient student support services for the students? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 18. Do you think that the academic advising is sufficient for student success? |
| ○ Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 19. Do you comply with the institutional policies and procedures concerning the use or technology, including policies on disaster planning? |
|---|
| YesNoUnable to evaluate |
| 20. Please offer feedback regarding the Curriculum. A) Academic Courses: - MA Program - Ph.D. Program B) Clinical Components: - MA Program - Ph.D. Program C) Ph.D. Program: Advanced Practical Experience Component: I feel the curriculum truly supports the student's competency and success in the field. |
| 21. Please offer feedback regarding the Program's Mission, Program Goals, and Student Learning Outcomes. MA Program PROGRAM MISSION The mission of Marriage and Family Therapy MA Program at Daybreak University is to prepare students to be culturally competent, ethical, evidence-based, self-observing, and systemic marriage and family therapists |

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational

PROGRAM GOALS

knowledge of marriage and family therapy theories, models, and interventions.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational competence to practice marriage and family therapy.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students to practice MFT from a self-reflective and cultural competence foundation with a variety of diverse clients.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate competencies related to legal and professional ethics, standards of practice, and personal awareness in their clinical work. Program Goal

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train family therapists who can consume and contribute to research in the field of marriage and family therapy.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of systems theory concepts and MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate competence in systemic/relational assessment, treatment planning, interventions, transfer, and termination.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate human diversity and practice culturallysensitive analysis and critical self-awareness when working with a diversity of individuals, couples, and families.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate ethical decision-making, case management, professionalism, and self-awareness in clinical practice.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will be able to read and critically evaluate research for evidence-based application in the practice of marriage and family therapy.

Ph.D. Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the Marriage and Family Therapy PhD program at Daybreak University is to develop scientist-practitioners in the field of couple, marriage, and family therapy. The doctoral program provides academic and clinical training for the purpose of developing scholars with the competence in systemic clinical work, supervision, teaching, and

research.

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): The program will train students who demonstrate advanced and comprehensive knowledge of systems concepts and MFT theories and techniques.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): The program will train students who demonstrate an ability to conceptualize systemic thinking as applied in supervision, mentoring, and the isomorphic dynamics among different levels of the training system.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students who demonstrate awareness and competence in culturally sensitive clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate applied knowledge of MFT legal and ethical guidelines and professional standards.

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train students who demonstrate the ability to design, conduct, analyze, and identify clinical implications of systemic and relational research.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate competence in advanced MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate systems concepts and MFT theories in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate understanding of contextual factors and respect for diversity and inclusion in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate competence in ethical and professional clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will conduct systemic and relational research that has clinical implications for marriage and family therapy.

I am in alignment with the goals, mission, outcomes and philosophy of this University Program.

| Program Director Effectiveness |
|---|
| 22. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>MA</u> Program Director: |
| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| O Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Program Director Effectiveness |
| 23. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>Ph.D</u> . Program Director: |

| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

Annual Survey for Core and Adjunct Faculty

| D | 1.1 | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| Daybreak | unive | rsity |

Year 2023

Core and Adjunct Faculty

UEnvironmental Supports

<u>Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment</u> **for MA Program**

| 1. How well do you believe the progran | n promotes | an inclusive | and diverse | learning |
|--|------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| environment? | | | | |

- Not Well
- Adequately well
- O Very well
- Exceptionally well

| 2. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
|--|
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 3. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| Environmental Supports Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment |
| for PhD Program |

| 4. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
|--|
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 5. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 6. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| |

| 7. Are the classroom facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 8. Are the computer facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 9. Are the fiscal resources sufficient for your teaching endeavors? |
| O Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

<u>Technological resources</u>

| 10. Are the technological resources such as Populi sufficient for assist in your teaching endeavors? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 11. Are the technological resources in the university sufficient to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Instructional and Clinical Resources |
| 12.Does the program have sufficient staff to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 13. Do you believe there are sufficient faculty for the students to experience academic success? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 14. Do you believe there are sufficient Program Clinical Supervisors for the students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 15. Do you think the resources with the on-site clinic are sufficient for students to experience clinical growth? |
| O Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 16. Are the library resources sufficient for your endeavors as an instructor? |
|--|
| YesNoUnable to evaluate |
| Academic Resources and Student Support Services |
| 17. Do you think there are sufficient student support services for the students? |
| O Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 18. Do you think that the academic advising is sufficient for student success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 19. Do you comply with the institutional policies and procedures concerning the use or technology, including policies on disaster planning? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 20. Please offer feedback regarding the Curriculum . <u>A) Academic Courses:</u> |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| B) Clinical Components: |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| C) Ph.D. Program: Advanced Practical Experience Component: |
| |
| |
| 21. Please offer feedback regarding the Program's Mission, Program Goals, and Student Learning Outcomes . MA Program |

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of Marriage and Family Therapy MA Program at Daybreak University is to prepare students to be culturally competent, ethical, evidence-based, self-observing, and systemic marriage and family therapists

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational

knowledge of marriage and family therapy theories, models, and interventions.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational competence to practice marriage and family therapy.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students to practice MFT from a self-reflective and cultural competence foundation with a variety of diverse clients.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate competencies related to legal and professional ethics, standards of practice, and personal awareness in their clinical work. Program Goal

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train family therapists who can consume and contribute to research in the field of marriage and family therapy.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of systems theory concepts and MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate competence in systemic/relational assessment, treatment planning, interventions, transfer, and termination.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate human diversity and practice culturallysensitive analysis and critical self-awareness when working with a diversity of individuals, couples, and families.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate ethical decision-making, case management, professionalism, and self-awareness in clinical practice.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will be able to read and critically evaluate research for evidence-based application in the practice of marriage and family therapy.

Ph.D. Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the Marriage and Family Therapy PhD program at Daybreak University is to develop scientist-practitioners in the field of couple, marriage, and family therapy. The doctoral program provides academic and clinical training for the purpose of developing scholars with the competence in systemic clinical work, supervision, teaching, and

research.

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): The program will train students who demonstrate advanced and comprehensive knowledge of systems concepts and MFT theories and techniques.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): The program will train students who demonstrate an ability to conceptualize systemic thinking as applied in supervision, mentoring, and the isomorphic dynamics among different levels of the training system.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students who demonstrate awareness and competence in culturally sensitive clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate applied knowledge of MFT legal and ethical guidelines and professional standards.

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train students who demonstrate the ability to design, conduct, analyze, and identify clinical implications of systemic and relational research.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate competence in advanced MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate systems concepts and MFT theories in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate understanding of contextual factors and respect for diversity and inclusion in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate competence in ethical and professional clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will conduct systemic and relational research that has clinical implications for marriage and family therapy.

The mission, goals, and outcome statements seem appropriate, clear, and measurable. They are excellent.

| Program Director Effectiveness |
|---|
| 22. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>MA</u> Program Director: |
| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| O Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Program Director Effectiveness |
| 23. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>Ph.D</u> . Program Director: |

| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

Annual Survey for Core and Adjunct Faculty

| D | 1.1 | |
|----------|-------|-------|
| Daybreak | unive | rsity |

Year 2023

Core and Adjunct Faculty

UEnvironmental Supports

<u>Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment</u> **for MA Program**

| 1. How well do you believe the progran | n promotes | an inclusive | and diverse | learning |
|--|------------|--------------|-------------|----------|
| environment? | | | | |

- Not Well
- Adequately well
- O Very well
- Exceptionally well

| 2. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
|--|
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 3. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| Environmental Supports Inclusive and Diverse Learning Environment |
| for PhD Program |

| 4. How well do you believe the program promotes an inclusive and diverse learning environment? |
|--|
| O Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 5. To what degree do you believe the program's demonstrates sensitivity to the needs of diverse, marginalized, and or underserved communities. |
| O Not well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| 6. How well do you believe the program promotes an open, safe, and respectful exchange of diverse views and opinions? |
| Not Well |
| Adequately well |
| O Very well |
| Exceptionally well |
| |

| 7. Are the classroom facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 8. Are the computer facilities sufficient for your teaching success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 9. Are the fiscal resources sufficient for your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| |

<u>Technological resources</u>

| 10. Are the technological resources such as Populi sufficient for assist in your teaching endeavors? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 11. Are the technological resources in the university sufficient to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Instructional and Clinical Resources |
| 12.Does the program have sufficient staff to assist in your teaching endeavors? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 13. Do you believe there are sufficient faculty for the students to experience academic success? |
|--|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 14. Do you believe there are sufficient Program Clinical Supervisors for the students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 15. Do you think the resources with the on-site clinic are sufficient for students to experience clinical growth? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 16. Are the library resources sufficient for your endeavors as an instructor? |
|--|
| YesNoUnable to evaluate |
| Academic Resources and Student Support Services |
| 17. Do you think there are sufficient student support services for the students? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| 18. Do you think that the academic advising is sufficient for student success? |
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |

| 19. Do you comply with the institutional policies and procedures concerning the use or technology, including policies on disaster planning? |
|---|
| Yes |
| ○ No |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| |
| 20. Please offer feedback regarding the Curriculum . <u>A) Academic Courses:</u> |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| B) Clinical Components: |
| - MA Program |
| - Ph.D. Program |
| C) Ph.D. Program: Advanced Practical Experience Component: |
| |
| |
| 21. Please offer feedback regarding the Program's Mission, Program Goals, and Student Learning Outcomes . MA Program |

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of Marriage and Family Therapy MA Program at Daybreak University is to prepare students to be culturally competent, ethical, evidence-based, self-observing, and systemic marriage and family therapists

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational

knowledge of marriage and family therapy theories, models, and interventions.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): Program will train students who demonstrate a foundational competence to practice marriage and family therapy.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students to practice MFT from a self-reflective and cultural competence foundation with a variety of diverse clients.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate competencies related to legal and professional ethics, standards of practice, and personal awareness in their clinical work. Program Goal

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train family therapists who can consume and contribute to research in the field of marriage and family therapy.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate comprehensive knowledge of systems theory concepts and MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate competence in systemic/relational assessment, treatment planning, interventions, transfer, and termination.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate human diversity and practice culturallysensitive analysis and critical self-awareness when working with a diversity of individuals, couples, and families.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate ethical decision-making, case management, professionalism, and self-awareness in clinical practice.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will be able to read and critically evaluate research for evidence-based application in the practice of marriage and family therapy.

Ph.D. Program

PROGRAM MISSION

The mission of the Marriage and Family Therapy PhD program at Daybreak University is to develop scientist-practitioners in the field of couple, marriage, and family therapy. The doctoral program provides academic and clinical training for the purpose of developing scholars with the competence in systemic clinical work, supervision, teaching, and

research.

PROGRAM GOALS

Program Goal #1 (Knowledge): The program will train students who demonstrate advanced and comprehensive knowledge of systems concepts and MFT theories and techniques.

Program Goal #2 (Practice): The program will train students who demonstrate an ability to conceptualize systemic thinking as applied in supervision, mentoring, and the isomorphic dynamics among different levels of the training system.

Program Goal #3 (Diversity): The program will train students who demonstrate awareness and competence in culturally sensitive clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

Program Goal #4 (Ethics): The program will train students who demonstrate applied knowledge of MFT legal and ethical guidelines and professional standards.

Program Goal #5 (Research): The program will train students who demonstrate the ability to design, conduct, analyze, and identify clinical implications of systemic and relational research.

STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

SLO #1 (Knowledge): Students will demonstrate competence in advanced MFT models and techniques.

SLO #2 (Practice): Students will demonstrate the ability to integrate systems concepts and MFT theories in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #3 (Diversity): Students will demonstrate understanding of contextual factors and respect for diversity and inclusion in their clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #4 (Ethics): Students will demonstrate competence in ethical and professional clinical work, supervision, teaching, and research.

SLO #5 (Research): Students will conduct systemic and relational research that has clinical implications for marriage and family therapy.

| Program Director Effectiveness |
|---|
| 22. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>MA</u> Program Director: |
| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| O Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |
| Program Director Effectiveness |
| 23. Please rate Dr. Jin Kim, PhD, LMFT on the level of effectiveness in her role as <u>Ph.D</u> . Program Director: |

| Level of effective leadership as the Program Director. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the outcome-based education framework, assessment activities, and curriculum. |
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| Level of effective oversight of the clinical training program facilities, and services. |
| Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |

| Level of effective oversight of the maintenance and enhancement of the program's quality. |
|---|
| O Unable to evaluate |
| Ineffective |
| ○ Effective |
| Exceptionally effective |
| |